





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

21 DEC 2004

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NOPCT-24	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/JP2002/006763	International filing date 03 July 2002 (, ,	Priority date (day/month/year)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B32B 5/00							
Applicant NAGOYA OILCHEMICAL CO., LTD.							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
This REPORT consists of a total of4 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I —	Box No. I Basis of the report						
Box No. II Priority							
		gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity							
citations and	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain docur							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report				
29 January 2004 (29.01	1.2004)	15 (October 2004 (15.10.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.					





Box No.	1	Basis of the report				
1. With other	regard wise in	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language dicated under this item.	guage in which it was filed, unless			
		report is based on translations from the original language into the following a is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	; language,			
* 8	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)					
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
furnis	shed to ire not	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred annexed to this report): attenuational application as originally filed/furnished				
		scription:				
	pages	1-13	, as originally filed/furnished			
l	pages		, as originary modification			
l	pages					
	the cla	aims:				
	pages	2	, as originally filed/furnished			
]	pages		ether with any statement) under Article 19			
	pages		12 July 2004 (12.07.2004)			
Ì	pages					
	tha de	5				
	pages	awings: 2	, as originally filed/furnished			
	pages		, as originally mediumshed			
ŀ	pages					
	0 5001	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Se				
-	a scqt	conce usung and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Se	quence Listing.			
3.	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
Ì	\boxtimes	the claims, Nos. 3, 4				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	made,	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this resince they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as 70.2(c)). the description, pages	eport and listed below had not been indicated in the Supplemental Box			
		the claims, Nos.	-			
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
* If iter	n 4 apj	olies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded,"	·			

Internati	oplication No.
PCT/J1	02/06763

NO

YES

NO

1, 2, 5

1, 2, 5

v. 	citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 2, 5	YES		
		Claims		NO NO		
	Inventive sten (IS)	Claims		YES		

Claims

Claims

Claims

Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

Document 1: JP 55-93612 A (Nitto Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., Shinko Kagaku Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha), 16 July 1980

Claims 1, 2, and 5

Phenolic resins, melamine resins, and urea resins are all thermosetting resins commonly used together with the epoxy resins disclosed in document 1, and thus, using any of phenolic resins, melamine resins, or urea resins in place of the epoxy resins disclosed in document 1 would not present any particular difficulty for a person skilled in the art.

Meanwhile, in the response to the written opinion, dated 12 July 2004, it is asserted that the speed at which phenolic resins and the like harden is faster than the speed at which epoxy resins harden, but this attribute is nothing more than a known attribute intrinsic to resins, and an effect arising from this attribute would not be particularly difficult to predict.

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The description only gives a single line listing pertaining to the embodiments of the inventions described in claims 1, 2, and 5 wherein a melamine resin or a urea resin are used as the powdered thermosetting resin, and moreover, this listing also includes epoxy resin, which the applicant asserts is unsuitable (description, page 8, line 24). Therefore, the description does not provide sufficient support for the selective use of powdered melamine resin or powdered urea resin.